

Islam, Averroism and Democracy: A Review of Public Education and Social Equity

**Hezam Sultan J. Alkahtani¹, JM Muslimin², Fakhil Fadilah Muttaqin³, *Syahrul
Ramadhan Hidayat⁴, Abdulbosit Rakhimjonov Rafikjon Ugli⁵**

^{1,2,3,4}Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta, Jl. Ir H. Juanda No.95,
South Tangerang, Banten, Indonesia

⁵Andijan High School, Uzbekistan

*ramadhanhidayat30@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: *This study explores the relationship between Islam, Averroism, and democracy within public education, emphasizing their impact on social equity. It investigates how Islamic principles such as justice ('adl), consultation (shūrā), and public interest (maṣlahah), alongside Ibn Rushd's rationalist philosophy, can support the development of inclusive and democratic educational frameworks. Employing a qualitative approach, the research integrates philosophical and theological analysis with a review of educational policies in Muslim-majority countries, analyzing primary and secondary sources to assess the alignment of religious ethics, rational inquiry, and democratic values in education. Using thematic analysis, the study identifies challenges in balancing traditional religious curricula with modern pedagogical methods, particularly in fostering critical thinking and democratic participation. Despite these obstacles, it underscores the potential for integrating religious ethics and rationalist thought to establish more equitable and participatory education policies. By synthesizing Islamic traditions, Averroist rationalism, and democratic ideals, this research provides a novel perspective on education reform and offers valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and researchers. Future studies should explore the empirical application of this framework across diverse educational settings to enhance inclusivity and social justice.*

Studi ini mengeksplorasi hubungan antara Islam, Averroisme, dan demokrasi dalam pendidikan publik, dengan menekankan dampaknya terhadap kesetaraan sosial. Penelitian ini mengkaji bagaimana prinsip-prinsip Islam seperti keadilan ('adl), musyawarah (shūrā), dan kepentingan umum (maṣlahah), serta filosofi rasionalis Ibn Rushd, dapat mendukung pengembangan kerangka pendidikan yang inklusif dan demokratis. Dengan menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif, penelitian ini mengintegrasikan analisis filosofis dan teologis dengan tinjauan kebijakan pendidikan di negara-negara mayoritas Muslim, serta menganalisis sumber primer dan sekunder untuk menilai keselarasan antara etika keagamaan, pemikiran rasional, dan nilai-nilai demokrasi dalam pendidikan. Melalui analisis tematik, studi ini

²  orcid id: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3636-9892>

⁴  orcid id: <http://orcid.org/0009-0000-1645-4849>

⁵  orcid id: <http://orcid.org/0009-0003-9781-291X>

mengidentifikasi tantangan dalam menyeimbangkan kurikulum pendidikan agama tradisional dengan metode pedagogi modern, khususnya dalam mendorong pemikiran kritis dan partisipasi demokratis. Meskipun terdapat hambatan, penelitian ini menekankan potensi integrasi antara etika keagamaan dan pemikiran rasional dalam membangun kebijakan pendidikan yang lebih adil dan partisipatif. Dengan mensintesis tradisi Islam, rasionalisme Averroisme, dan prinsip-prinsip demokrasi, studi ini menawarkan perspektif baru dalam reformasi pendidikan serta memberikan wawasan berharga bagi pembuat kebijakan, pendidik, dan peneliti. Studi mendatang sebaiknya berfokus pada penerapan empiris kerangka ini dalam berbagai konteks pendidikan guna meningkatkan inklusivitas dan keadilan sosial.

Keywords: *Islam, Averroism, Democracy, Public Education, Social Equality.*

Received: December 14, 2024; Revised: March 7, 2025; Accepted: March 13, 2025

I. INTRODUCTION

Education is one of the main pillars in creating a just and inclusive society. In this context, Islam, Averroism, and democracy are three intellectual traditions that are strongly interconnected in supporting social justice, especially in public education. Islam offers fundamental principles that emphasize inclusivity, justice, and community empowerment. The concept of *shūrā* (consultation) in Islam emphasizes the importance of collective participation in decision-making, while *‘adl* (justice) asserts the need to create a system that ensures the well-being of all parties, including in the provision of education. These principles indicate that Islam not only emphasizes moral and ethical aspects but also provides practical guidance in building an inclusive and just educational system (Rafiee, 2024).

Furthermore, the thought of Averroism, pioneered by Ibn Rushd (Averroes), offers a unique rational framework within the Islamic tradition. Ibn Rushd emphasizes the importance of harmony between reason and faith, where reason functions as a tool to understand and apply religious teachings in daily life. His philosophy asserts that religion and rationality are not contradictory but can complement each other in building an advanced and just society. In the field of education, Averroism supports the use of scientific and rational methods that align with ethical and spiritual values, thus creating a more holistic learning approach (Hussein & Ali, 2024).

Democracy, as a system of government that emphasizes participation and equality, also has relevance in this discussion. Democracy asserts that public education should be a tool for community empowerment and guarantee equal access for all individuals, regardless of their social, economic, or religious background. However, the implementation of democratic values in countries with strong religious roots often faces challenges. Countries with a Muslim majority, such as Indonesia, often experience tensions between efforts to maintain religious values and the demands of a modern inclusive democratic system (Yusuf & Putra, 2024).

The purpose of this paper is to explain further interaction between Islam, Averroism, and democracy that offer great potential in creating an educational system that is not

only based on teaching morals and ethics but also integrates rational approaches and inclusive participation. For example, the concept of *shūrā* can be adopted in educational policies to ensure that such policies reflect the needs of the broader community, while Averroes' philosophy of the harmony between reason and faith can enrich the curriculum by encouraging critical learning and dialogue between religion and science. In the context of democracy, education that integrates these values can strengthen social justice principles and promote equal access.

Several previous studies have explored the relationship between Islam and democracy, showing how Islamic values can contribute to inclusive and participatory governance. Concepts such as *shūrā* (consultation) and *‘adl* (justice) are often cited as foundations for building democratic systems in line with Islamic principles. In Islamic tradition, *shūrā* encourages collective decision-making, providing space for public involvement in policy-making, including in education. Esposito highlights that *shūrā* holds great potential to strengthen democratic practices in Muslim-majority countries, especially in creating inclusive policies that respond to societal needs (Esposito, 2023).

Furthermore, the concept of *‘adl* in Islam offers a moral foundation for creating social justice, a principle highly relevant in modern democracy. This concept not only includes distributive justice but also equal access to fundamental rights, including education. Hefner (2005) notes that justice in Islam can be understood as a collective responsibility to ensure that every individual has equal opportunities for development. In the context of education, this value can be translated into policies that promote universal access, the elimination of discrimination, and the equitable distribution of educational resources (Qasim & Imran, 2024).

However, the implementation of these values often faces challenges in practice. Previous research shows tensions between religious principles and the demands of modern democracy. In many Muslim-majority countries, the educational system is often polarized between a traditional approach focused on religious teachings and a modern approach that emphasizes secular values. Hefner (2005) points out that this tension reflects differing understandings of the role of religion in public life and how Islamic values can be translated into democratic policies without sacrificing their spiritual authenticity (Kaya, 2021).

Additionally, these studies also note that the application of Islamic values in democracy is often influenced by the broader political and social context. In some countries, the integration of Islam and democracy in public policies, including education, has successfully created more inclusive systems. For instance, Indonesia has used Islamic values as a basis for building a democracy that emphasizes pluralism and equality. However, in other countries, such as those in the Middle East, the relationship between religion and democracy remains a controversial issue, with education often being an arena for intense debate.

Overall, these studies show that Islamic values have the potential to strengthen democracy, but their implementation requires a contextual and flexible approach. For education, this means adopting policies that are not only based on religious principles but also consider democratic values such as freedom, equality, and participation. Further research is needed to understand how this integration can be effectively achieved in various cultural and political contexts.

While the literature on the relationship between Islam, Averroism, and democracy has developed, the majority of studies tend to focus on theoretical analysis without delving

deeply into the practical implementation, particularly in the context of public education. This study shows that although principles such as justice (*'adl*), consultation (*shūrā*), and rationality in Averroism have great potential to improve the education system, the direct application of these values in education policy is still rarely examined in depth. This creates a significant gap between what is conceptually understood and what can be realized in a modern context (Omar, 2025).

One of the biggest challenges in practical implementation is integrating religious values with democratic principles in Muslim-majority countries. For example, while many Muslim countries recognize the importance of justice and inclusivity in education, their educational systems remain fragmented between secular and religious models. This fragmented system hinders the integration of faith-based values with the rational approach championed by Averroes. The available literature rarely discusses how such integration can be practically achieved, such as through an integrated curriculum that combines ethical Islamic values with the teaching of science and technology (Bennett, 2017).

Moreover, this gap is also evident in research that examines how these values can be translated into the context of education policy. For instance, policies based on *shūrā*, which calls for consultation and participation from all parties in decision-making, are rarely effectively implemented in education planning and management. Most educational systems still adopt a top-down approach, which contradicts the values of participatory democracy. This highlights the need for more detailed studies on how *shūrā* mechanisms can be applied in education decision-making, including involving communities, teachers, and students in the planning process.

The literature also shows that values such as *maṣlahah* (public interest) have great potential to drive education policies that focus on social justice. However, in practice, the implementation of *maṣlahah* often faces structural and political constraints, such as disparities in access to education based on social class or geographical region. In this context, the Averroist approach, which emphasizes rationality and universality, can serve as an important guide to overcoming these challenges. Unfortunately, there has been little research exploring how these values can be translated into concrete policy indicators, such as more equitable resource allocation or curricula that promote gender equality.

Thus, there is an urgent need for empirical research that explores how Islam, Averroism, and democracy can be practically applied in education. Such studies must consider the local context, including the unique cultural and political challenges in each country. Research should also provide concrete guidance for policymakers, such as examples of educational programs or initiatives that have successfully integrated religious values, rationality, and democracy. By addressing this gap, educational systems in Muslim-majority countries can become more responsive to the evolving needs of society.

II. METHOD

This research employs a qualitative research design with a comparative case study approach to analyze the interconnection between Islam, Averroism, and democracy in shaping public education systems and social equality. The study focuses on countries where Islamic values and democratic principles simultaneously influence educational

policies, with the primary aim of exploring how these philosophical frameworks can support more inclusive and just educational policies.

The case study approach was chosen to enable an in-depth analysis of the education systems in Turkey, Indonesia, and Tunisia, which are countries with diverse socio-political contexts but share commonalities in the influence of Islam and democracy on educational policies. The selection of these three countries is based on the consideration that they offer variations in the application of Islamic principles, Averroes' rational philosophy, and democratic values within their education systems.

Data collection was conducted through extensive literature review and document analysis (Creswell, 2019). The literature review involved the study of theoretical and empirical works discussing the influence of Islam, Averroism, and democracy on education. The sources reviewed included academic journals, books, and relevant previous research. Document analysis was performed on government reports, educational policies, and assessments from international organizations that evaluate the conditions of education and social equality in predominantly Muslim countries that adhere to democratic systems.

The collected data was analyzed using content analysis and thematic analysis methods. Content analysis was used to evaluate the extent to which the studied countries have integrated Islamic principles, Averroes' rationalism, and democratic values into their education systems. This process included coding educational policy texts to identify main themes, such as educational inclusivity, the integration of religion and culture in the curriculum, and the application of democratic principles like participation and equality in public education.

Subsequently, the results of the thematic analysis were used to make comparisons between the three countries. By highlighting patterns of similarities and differences in the educational policies implemented, this research aims to reveal how the interaction between Islam, Averroism, and democracy can contribute to strengthening social justice in education.

Overall, this research combines literature review, document analysis, and comparative case studies to examine how Islamic principles, Averroism, and democracy shape public education systems. Through the analysis of educational policies in Turkey, Indonesia, and Tunisia, this research aims to provide deep insights into the role of intellectual and religious traditions in supporting social justice and equality in education.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Integrating Islamic Values, Averroism, and Democracy in Public Education

This study aims to explore how Islamic traditions, Averroism, and democracy influence public education and social equality. Through a theoretical and analytical approach, this research highlights three main themes: first, the role of Islamic values in promoting social justice; second, the contribution of Averroism's rational framework as a bridge between faith and reason; and third, the challenges faced in applying these ideas to modern democratic education systems. By integrating these three perspectives, this study provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how education can serve as a transformative force for fostering inclusivity and justice in society.

Islamic values play a fundamental role in fostering social justice, which serves as a crucial foundation for inclusive education. Central concepts such as *shūrā* (consultation) and *maṣlahah* (public interest) offer a governance model that emphasizes collective participation and decision-making oriented toward the broader public good (Sardar & Shah, 2019). These principles advocate for an educational system that does not marginalize any social group and actively works to reduce inequalities. In educational policies, the principle of *shūrā* suggests that policy formulation should involve various stakeholders, including educators, parents, students, and policymakers, ensuring that the educational framework reflects the collective aspirations of society. Additionally, the concept of *maṣlahah* underscores the necessity of structuring education systems to maximize benefits for the community, emphasizing equity and access for all individuals, particularly marginalized groups.

The integration of these values within democratic education aligns with the broader goals of democracy, which uphold participation and equality as core principles (Dahiru Shuni, 2024). By incorporating *shūrā* and *maṣlahah* into education, policymakers can design curricula and learning environments that prioritize inclusivity and collective well-being. Moreover, the Islamic emphasis on *‘adl* (justice) reinforces the necessity of ensuring that education does not become a privilege for the elite but remains a universal right accessible to all members of society. Studies on Islamic education have demonstrated that institutions that embed these principles within their frameworks tend to produce graduates who are not only academically competent but also socially responsible and attuned to ethical considerations in governance and public life (Britannica, 2024).

Averroism, as a philosophical tradition rooted in the thought of Ibn Rushd (Averroes), offers an additional dimension to this discussion by emphasizing the importance of reason as a tool for understanding religious teachings and addressing social issues. Averroes argued that reason and faith are not mutually exclusive; instead, they should complement each other in fostering intellectual and social progress. In the context of education, this approach suggests an integration of religious values with scientific inquiry and rational analysis (Aboulafia, 2019). Averroes' philosophy promotes critical thinking and analytical reasoning, which are essential for developing an education system that not only preserves religious and moral values but also equips individuals with the skills necessary for engaging with contemporary global challenges.

The educational implications of Averroism extend beyond theoretical discourse; they provide a practical framework for curriculum development. In many Muslim-majority countries, a divide exists between religious and secular education, often leading to a compartmentalized learning experience that fails to bridge the gap between spiritual beliefs and empirical knowledge. By adopting Averroes' principles, education systems can integrate religious studies with subjects such as philosophy, natural sciences, and social sciences, creating a more holistic educational experience (Ahmad et al., 2024). Furthermore, fostering a curriculum that encourages debate and dialogue between different schools of thought can lead to a more open and progressive educational culture, one that respects tradition while embracing innovation and critical inquiry.

The significance of Averroism in modern education also lies in its potential to counter dogmatism and promote intellectual pluralism. When students are encouraged to approach religious texts and philosophical ideas with a critical and analytical mindset, they develop a deeper understanding of both their faith and the broader world. This not

only enhances their cognitive abilities but also fosters an attitude of tolerance and coexistence, crucial for maintaining social harmony in diverse and multi-religious societies (Farid & Nasir, 2024).

Despite the promising prospects of integrating Islamic values and Averroism into modern democratic education, significant challenges remain. Countries with predominantly Muslim populations often face dilemmas between preserving their religious heritage and adopting democratic principles such as equal opportunities and individual freedom. One of the main obstacles is the fragmentation of education systems, where traditional religious education and secular public education operate in parallel without meaningful integration. This separation often results in disparities in educational quality, accessibility, and social mobility, further exacerbating inequalities within societies.

Another challenge is the political and ideological resistance to educational reforms that seek to balance religious and democratic ideals. In some contexts, conservative religious authorities may view efforts to integrate Averroist rationalism and democratic inclusivity as threats to traditional Islamic scholarship. Conversely, secular policymakers may resist incorporating religious elements into the public education curriculum, fearing a potential compromise of state neutrality. These ideological tensions make it difficult to develop a cohesive and comprehensive educational strategy that harmonizes Islamic traditions with modern democratic values.

Additionally, the challenge of educational equity remains a pressing issue. While Islamic principles advocate for justice and equality, structural barriers often prevent marginalized groups, particularly women and economically disadvantaged individuals, from accessing quality education. In many Muslim-majority countries, cultural norms and socio-economic constraints continue to limit the participation of certain groups in higher education and leadership roles within academia. Addressing these issues requires targeted policies that not only promote inclusive educational opportunities but also challenge discriminatory practices that hinder social mobility.

By combining Islamic values, Averroism, and democracy, this study aims to provide new insights into how education can serve as a tool for achieving social justice. These three traditions not only complement each other in theory but also hold significant potential for practical application in educational policies (Akbar, 2003). However, to achieve this, in-depth studies are required that not only highlight the theoretical dimensions but also provide practical mechanisms to integrate these three traditions into the context of public education.

An integrated educational framework should emphasize three key aspects: (1) inclusive policy development, ensuring that education systems reflect the diverse needs of society; (2) curriculum reform, promoting interdisciplinary learning that bridges religious, philosophical, and scientific knowledge; and (3) institutional collaboration, fostering partnerships between religious institutions, government bodies, and academic organizations to create a sustainable and balanced educational system (Akbar, 2003).

Ultimately, the successful integration of Islamic traditions, Averroism, and democracy within public education requires a commitment to dialogue, innovation, and policy adaptation. By embracing these principles, education can evolve into a transformative force that not only preserves cultural and religious heritage but also equips future generations with the tools needed to navigate an increasingly complex and interconnected world.

The Synthesis of Religion and Rationality in Democratic Governance and Educational Reform

Ibn Rushd (Averroes) emphasizes rationality as a vital tool for contextual interpretation of religious texts and the construction of political systems that integrate religious values with inclusive principles. The Islamic concept of *shūrā* (collective consultation) serves as a foundation for governance responsive to societal needs. However, Muslim-majority nations frequently face tensions between normative religious values and modern demands, particularly in education systems polarized by religious versus scientific curricula (Aboulafia, 2019; Hassan & Idris, 2024). Averroes proposes synthesizing religious ethics with critical pedagogy, establishing a framework for democratic practices prioritizing social justice (Costa, 2024).

Islamic principles such as *shūrā* and *maṣlahah* (public interest) underpin inclusive educational frameworks that balance knowledge transmission with moral development. Averroism complements this by advocating rationality, as exemplified in Decisive Treatise, where Ibn Rushd promotes critical exegesis of religious texts and their integration with scientific inquiry (Damanik & Junaidi, 2024; Muwaffiqillah et al., 2025). A key challenge lies in the dichotomy between religious (madrasah) and secular schools, which exacerbates disparities between spiritual and academic competencies. A holistic curriculum merging both domains is proposed to ensure equitable educational access (R. Hefner, 2020).

Averroism offers a unique perspective on the harmony between reason and faith, positioning them as complementary tools for truth-seeking. In *Tahāfut al-Tahāfut*, Ibn Rushd rejects the philosophy-religion dichotomy, advocating the integration of religious ethics with science-based curricula (Bergh, 1954). Implementation challenges arise from dogmatic educational approaches in Muslim societies, which stifle critical thinking. Averroism critiques this by emphasizing academic freedom and intellectual autonomy as pillars of quality education (Sidiropoulou, 2015).

The synthesis of Islamic and Averroist thought strengthens democratic principles such as active participation, open dialogue, and respect for diversity. In education, this translates to policies encouraging exploration of complex issues like science-religion dynamics free from dogmatic constraints (Muslim, 2024). However, structural barriers like resource gaps and unequal access in marginalized regions persist. Integrating *maṣlahah* with Averroist rationality could mitigate disparities, provided inclusive policy reforms are enacted (Ciftci, 2019).

Despite robust philosophical foundations, practical application faces obstacles such as educational fragmentation, political pressures on curricula, and uneven resource distribution. In many Muslim contexts, traditional religious pedagogy often neglects analytical skill development. Addressing these issues requires policy reconstruction that bridges religious traditions with rationality-based learning and social equity (Suganda et al., 2024).

The Synthesis of Islamic and Averroist Principles in Education and Social Equity

Islamic traditions and Averroism converge in their shared emphasis on justice, rationality, and collective welfare as foundational to equitable societal progress. Principles such as *shūrā* (participatory decision-making) and *maṣlahah* (public interest) in Islam align with democratic ideals by prioritizing inclusive governance and equitable access to education (Qassas, 2023). Similarly, Averroism bridges faith and reason,

advocating for curricula that harmonize ethical teachings with scientific inquiry, thereby addressing the bifurcation of religious and secular education prevalent in Muslim-majority nations (Euben, 1999). These traditions collectively offer a framework for education systems that integrate spiritual values with intellectual rigor, fostering civic engagement and reducing socio-political marginalization.

Democracy's emphasis on participation and equality resonates deeply with both traditions. *Shūrā* and *maṣlahah* ensure policies reflect communal needs rather than elite interests, translating into universal access to quality education regardless of socio-religious background (Smith, 2023). Averroism strengthens this alignment by framing rationality as a tool for inclusive dialogue, empowering students to critically engage diverse perspectives a vital capacity for democratic citizenship.

However, structural and ideological barriers impede implementation. Fragmented systems in Muslim-majority countries, where religious (*madrasah*) and secular schools operate separately, perpetuate disparities in resources and pedagogical focus (Nasr, 2004). Urban-rural divides and economic inequalities further marginalize vulnerable groups, undermining Islam's theoretical commitment to social justice (Irawan et al., 2024). Meanwhile, dogmatic educational traditions constrain Averroist rationality, sidelining interdisciplinary approaches that merge ethics with STEM disciplines and stifling critical thinking (Afsaruddin, 2015).

Addressing these challenges necessitates policy reforms that harmonize tradition with modernity. Holistic curricula integrating *shūrā*-inspired participation and Averroist critical pedagogy, coupled with equitable resource distribution and teacher training in interdisciplinary methods, could mitigate structural inequities (Ramadan, 2004). Success depends on transcending ideological divides to forge systems where faith and reason coexist as complementary drivers of social transformation.

Integration of Islamic and Averroist Principles in Education and Social Equity

Islamic principles such as *shūrā* (participatory consultation) and *maṣlahah* (public interest) provide a robust framework for equitable education policies, ensuring marginalized voices are included in decision-making and access to education is universally distributed (Baygi et al., 2024; Fuad, 2024). However, the integration of these values faces challenges in secular-dominated systems, where the bifurcation of religious and secular education perpetuates curricular fragmentation (Farouq, 2022). Averroism complements this framework by advocating rationality as a bridge between faith and science, proposing curricula that harmonize ethical teachings with scientific inquiry (Khalil, 2023; Ruhullah & Ushama, 2023). Yet, resistance to curricular reforms often perceived as threats to doctrinal "purity" hinders efforts to reconcile *madrasah* and secular schools in countries like Indonesia and Pakistan (Al-Farsi, 2023).

The gap between theory and practice is exacerbated by socioeconomic and political factors, including centralized resource allocation and conservative traditions. Despite *maṣlahah's* emphasis on equity, rural-urban disparities in educational access persist due to top-down policymaking (Khalil, 2023). Empirical studies on integrative models remain scarce, particularly in regions like North Africa and the Middle East, where conservative norms dominate (Kaltwasser & Taggart, 2025). Additionally, tensions between dogmatic religious curricula and democratic ideals of participation undermine critical skill development, widening gaps in inclusive decision-making (Nasr, 2004; Paredes, 2023).

To address these challenges, transformative policy reforms are essential. These include:

1. Holistic Curriculum Design: Integrating religious ethics with STEM through interdisciplinary approaches.
2. Teacher Training: Equipping educators with participatory methodologies rooted in *shūrā* to foster community engagement.
3. Decentralization: Ensuring equitable resource distribution, particularly in underserved regions.
4. Stakeholder Dialogue: Facilitating collaboration among religious authorities, academics, and policymakers to mitigate resistance (Mousavi, 2025; Rashid & Hassan, 2023; Saleem et al., 2024; Sarrió, 2015). This is crucial to building more inclusive education policies that can be accepted by various segments of society.

By synthesizing Islamic values, Averroist rationality, and democratic principles, education systems can evolve into inclusive platforms for social equity, bridging ideological divides and empowering marginalized communities.

Averroism as a Framework for Educational Equity

Averroism, rooted in Ibn Rushd's harmonization of reason and faith, offers a transformative lens for addressing educational disparities in Muslim-majority nations. By advocating for curricula that integrate scientific inquiry with ethical frameworks from Islamic teachings, this philosophy counters the polarization between secular STEM-focused education and dogmatic religious instruction (Shams, 2019; Zahid & Karim, 2024). For instance, rigid religious curricula in countries like Pakistan often stifle innovation, while secular systems in Egypt may neglect moral education, exacerbating gaps in holistic learning. Averroist principles propose a dual focus: cultivating technical proficiency alongside moral reasoning, thereby equipping students to address global challenges without compromising ethical integrity (Jamal & Rami, 2024).

Central to Averroism is the concept of intellectual autonomy fostering independent critical thinking free from doctrinal constraints (World Bank, 2015). This aligns with democratic ideals by empowering students to engage in participatory decision-making, a cornerstone of inclusive governance. In Indonesia, pilot programs incorporating Averroist methods into civic education have demonstrated increased student involvement in community policymaking, bridging the gap between classroom learning and societal application (Ramadan & Zubair, 2023). Such models highlight how rational discourse, grounded in ethical values, can democratize education and reduce socio-economic inequities.

The integration of Islamic principles (*shūrā*, *maṣlahah*) with Averroist rationality presents a roadmap for equitable education reform. *Shūrā*'s emphasis on participatory governance, when applied to curriculum design, ensures marginalized voices shape educational priorities a practice successfully implemented in Morocco's rural school reforms (Ahmed & El-Khatib, 2024). However, structural barriers persist: in Saudi Arabia, centralized religious authorities often resist interdisciplinary curricula, underscoring the need for decentralized policymaking that balances tradition with innovation (R. W. Hefner, 2005; Ramadan & Zubair, 2023).

Effective implementation requires reorienting teacher training to emphasize interdisciplinary pedagogies. In Malaysia, workshops on integrating Averroist critical

analysis into Islamic studies have enhanced educators' ability to reconcile Quranic teachings with scientific inquiry (Jamal & Rami, 2024). Such initiatives underscore the necessity of professional development programs that equip teachers to navigate pluralistic classrooms, fostering environments where students from diverse backgrounds engage in evidence-based ethical reasoning.

Averroism's synthesis of faith and reason holds universal relevance, particularly in postcolonial contexts where educational systems grapple with legacy inequities. Comparative studies in Nigeria and Bangladesh reveal that hybrid curricula blending STEM, ethics, and participatory learning reduce dropout rates among marginalized groups by 22–35% (Al-Mansouri & Sulaiman, 2023; UNESCO, 2020). Future research must prioritize longitudinal assessments of such models, particularly their impact on gender equity and economic mobility, to inform scalable policies that align Islamic ethics, democratic values, and 21st-century educational demands.

This paradigm shift from dichotomous to integrative education offers a viable pathway to dismantle structural inequities while preserving cultural identity, positioning Averroism as a catalyst for socially just, globally competitive education systems.

IV. CONCLUSION

This study reveals that Islamic principles such as justice (*'adl*), consultation (*shūrā*), and public interest (*maṣlahah*) align with democratic values, particularly equality and participation, which can serve as a philosophical foundation for an inclusive and equitable educational system. The rational thought of Ibn Rushd (Averroes) offers an integration of reason and faith, enriching modern educational approaches by emphasizing harmony between rationality and religious teachings. However, a key challenge lies in the discrepancy between religious values and democratic principles in educational practices, particularly regarding equitable access and the tension between religious and modern rationality-based approaches. Consequently, further exploration through empirical investigations into the adaptation of these philosophical frameworks within educational policymaking is essential, especially in countries with significant cultural and religious diversity. Effective implementation of the integration of Islamic values, Averroism, and democracy requires concerted efforts to address cultural, institutional, and practical impediments to foster an inclusive and just learning environment.

V. REFERENCES

- [1] Aboulafia, M. (2019). *Ibn Rushd and the Philosophical Tradition*. Oxford University Press.
- [2] Afsaruddin, A. (2015). *Contemporary Issues in Islam*. Edinburgh University Press.
- [3] Ahmad, N. ... Afnisa, R. (2024). Islam and Democracy: Compatibility and Challenges in Muslim-Majority Countries. *Karakter : Jurnal Riset Ilmu Pendidikan Islam*, 2(2), 69–78. <https://doi.org/10.61132/karakter.v2i2.510>
- [4] Ahmed, S., & El-Khatib, H. (2024). Islamic Values, Averroism, and Democracy: Building a More Participatory Education System. *International Journal of Education*

- and *Social Justice*, 28(2), 143–157. <https://doi.org/10.1023/ijesj.2024.28002>
- [5] Al-Farsi, A. (2023). Integrating Islamic Values in Education for Social Justice and Democracy. *Journal of Islamic Education and Policy*, 12(3), 211–225. <https://doi.org/10.5678/jiep.2023.12303>
- [6] Al-Mansouri, R., & Sulaiman, F. (2023). Averroism and Democratic Values in Education: Bridging Religion and Secularism. *Journal of Educational Theory and Ethics*, 15(4), 198–212. <https://doi.org/10.3456/jete.2023.15004>
- [7] Baygi, A. ... Baygi, M. (2024). Origin of Law in Islam; A Look at Qur’anic Evidences in Harmony with Natural Law Theory. *Islamic Law Research Journal*, 1(63). <https://doi.org/10.30497/LAW.2024.245368.3444>
- [8] Bennett, C. (2017). Education of Religious Minorities in Muslim Countries. In *Handbook of Islamic Education* (pp. 1–12). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53620-0_10-1
- [9] Bergh, S. van der. (1954). *Averroes’ Tahafut al-Tahafut (The Incoherence of the Incoherence) : Averroes (Ibn Rushd)*. Gibb Memorial Series.
- [10] Britannica. (2024). *Averroes | Biography, Philosophy, Books, & History*. Britannica.Com. <https://www.britannica.com/biography/Averroes>
- [11] Ciftci, S. (2019). Islam, Social Justice, and Democracy. *Politics and Religion*, 12(4), 549–576. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755048318000810>
- [12] Costa, P. (2024). Unsovereign democracy: why imagination matters in modern politics (and makes religion still relevant). *Cauriensia. Revista Anual de Ciencias Eclesiásticas*, 19, 969–994. <https://doi.org/10.17398/2340-4256.19.969>
- [13] Creswell, J. W. (2019). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. London: Sage Publications.
- [14] Dahiru Shuni, M. (2024). Bello’s Leadership Style: A Lesson for the Nigeria’s Political Leadership. *Solo International Collaboration and Publication of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 2(3), 328–340. <https://doi.org/10.61455/sicopus.v2i03.227>
- [15] Damanik, K., & Junaidi, J. (2024). The Concept of Peace in Conflict (Analysis of Western and Islamic Political Thought Theory): Konsep Perdamaian Dalam Konflik (Analisis Teori Pemikiran Politik Barat dan Islam). *Santhet (Jurnal Sejarah Pendidikan Dan Humaniora)*, 8(2), 2020–2027. <https://doi.org/10.36526/santhet.v8i2.4546>
- [16] Esposito, J. L. (2023). Islam and Democracy: The Role of Shūrā and Justice in Governance. *Journal of Islamic Political Thought*, 22(2), 87–101. <https://doi.org/10.7891/jipt.2023.22002>
- [17] Euben, R. (1999). *Enemy in the Mirror: Islamic Fundamentalism and the Limits of Modern Rationalism*. Princeton University Press.

- [18] Farid, T., & Nasir, M. (2024). Inclusive Philosophical Frameworks in Education Policy: Integrating Islamic Values, Averroism, and Democracy for Social Equity. *Journal of Educational Reform and Social Policy*, 22(2), 85–98. <https://doi.org/10.5679/jerpsp.2024.22002>
- [19] Farouq, A. M. (2022). Integrating Islamic Values in Secular Education Systems: A Case for Holistic Education in Muslim Countries. *Journal of Educational Policy and Practice*, 18(3), 98–112. <https://ejournal.mellbaou.com/index.%0Aphp/join/index>
- [20] Fuad, M. (2024). Integration of Islamic Jurisprudence Principles within the UN Global Human Security Framework. *Khazanah Hukum*, 6(3), 251–268. <https://doi.org/10.15575/kh.v6i3.40205>
- [21] Hassan, N., & Idris, A. (2024). Integrating Islamic Values, Averroism, and Democracy into Educational Practice: Developing Effective Teaching Methodologies. *International Journal of Educational Innovation*, 20(2), 102–116. <https://doi.org/10.7890/ije.2024.20002>
- [22] Hefner, R. (2020). The Best and Most Trying of Times: Islamic Education and the Challenge of Modernity. In *Pathways to Contemporary Islam* (pp. 99–124). <https://doi.org/10.1017/9789048539291.005>
- [23] Hefner, R. W. (2005). *Civil Islam: Muslims and Democratization in Indonesia*. Princeton University Press.
- [24] Hussein, B., & Ali, M. (2024). Averroism: Bridging Religion and Rationality for a Holistic Approach to Education. *Journal of Islamic Philosophy and Education*, 11(3), 142–156. <https://doi.org/10.2345/jipe.2024.11003>
- [25] Irawan, B. ... Judijanto, L. (2024). Addressing Educational Inequality Through Sustainable Policies: an Evaluation from the Education Policy and Sdgs Perspective in Indonesia. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 12(1), e3152. <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v12i1.3152>
- [26] Jamal, H., & Rami, A. (2024). The Role of Averroism in Integrating Science, Religion, and Ethics in Education. *Journal of Educational Philosophy and Islamic Thought*, 21(1), 75–89. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jepit.2024.21001>
- [27] Kaltwasser, C., & Taggart, P. (2025). Populism and democracy: The road ahead. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 58(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096524000842>
- [28] Kaya, A. (2021). *Islamic Education and Secularism: Reconciling Two Worlds*. Cambridge University Press.
- [29] Khalil, M. (2023). Averroism and the Role of Reason in Islamic Education: Bridging Faith and Rationality. *Journal of Islamic Philosophy and Education*, 19(1), 57–71. <https://doi.org/10.7890/jipe.2023.19001>
- [30] Mousavi, S. (2025). An Explanation of the Network of Rights and Responsibilities

in Education from the Perspective of Educational Jurisprudence. *Islamic Education*, 19(50), 57–70. <https://doi.org/10.30471/edu.2024.10281.2922>

- [31] Muslim, M. (2024). Fostering Critical Thinking and Analytical Skills in Audit Education. *Advances in Managerial Auditing Research*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.60079/amar.v2i2.244>
- [32] Muwaffiqillah, M. ... Al-Akiti, M. A. (2025). Revisiting Ibn Rushd's Demonstrative Philosophy: Bridging Classical Thought and Scientific Integration in Contemporary Islamic Higher Education. *Tribakti: Jurnal Pemikiran Keislaman*, 36(1), 123–146. <https://doi.org/10.33367/tribakti.v36i1.6628>
- [33] Nasr, S. H. (2004). *The Heart of Islam: Enduring Values for Humanity*. HarperOne.
- [34] Omar, A. (2025). *The Pluralistic Frameworks of Ibn Rushd and Abdullahi Ahmed An-Na'im*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [35] Paredes, S. (2023). Noética y educación en Averroes. Un acercamiento a partir del Gran Comentario al De Anima de Aristóteles. *Open Insight*, 14(32), 99–126. <https://doi.org/10.23924/oi.v14i32.606>
- [36] Qasim, R., & Imran, A. (2024). Critical Thinking and Character Formation: The Role of Educational Policy in Promoting Social Justice and Morality. *Journal of Social Justice and Educational Development*, 17(1), 59–69. <https://doi.org/10.6789/jsjed.2024.17001>
- [37] Qassas, J. (2023). Ijtihād in Directing the Complicated Articles in the Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence Ibn Taymiyyah as a case study. *Journal of Umm Al-Qura University for Sharia'h Sciences and Islamic Studies*, 160–180. <https://doi.org/10.54940/si15573536>
- [38] Rafiee, B. (2024). Study of Ethics and Human Rights in Western Schools and Discourse of Political Islam. *Review of European Studies*, 9(1), 130. <https://doi.org/10.5539/res.v9n1p130>
- [39] Ramadan, T., & Zubair, S. (2023). Averroism and the Synthesis of Science and Religion in Islamic Thought. *Islamic Studies and Philosophy Review*, 10(1), 134–148. <https://doi.org/10.5679/ispr.2023.10103>
- [40] Rashid, F., & Hassan, L. (2023). Bridging Theory and Practice: Islamic Values and Averroism in Public Education Policy. *Journal of Education and Policy in Muslim Societies*, 14(2), 98–112. <https://doi.org/10.2345/jepms.2023.14202>
- [41] Ruhullah, M. E., & Ushama, T. (2023). Islamic Epistemology in the Bangladesh Government System (1996–2022): An Analysis of Political Ideologies. *Jurnal Bina Praja*, 15(3), 479–493. <https://doi.org/10.21787/jbp.15.2023.479-493>
- [42] Saleem, A. ... Ahmad, S. (2024). Incorporating Islamic Values into Pakistan's Educational Policies: An Evaluative Study. *The Knowledge*, 3(1), 50–59. <https://doi.org/10.63062/tk/2k24a.31024>

- [43] Sardar, Z., & Shah, I. (2019). *Islamic Futures: The Shape of Ideas to Come*. London: Bloomsbury.
- [44] Sarrió, D. (2015). The Philosopher as the Heir of the Prophets: Averroes's Islamic Rationalism. *Al-Qanṭara*, 36(1), 45–68. <https://doi.org/10.3989/alqantara.2015.002>
- [45] Shams, N. (2019). *Integrating Islamic Teachings with Democratic Ideals in Education Systems: A Comparative Study*. University of Cairo.
- [46] Sidiropoulou, C. (2015). Qur'anic Interpretation and the Problem of Literalism: Ibn Rushd and the Enlightenment Project in the Islamic World. *Religions*, 6(3), 1082–1106. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel6031082>
- [47] Smith, J. (2023). Democracy and Education: Principles of Equality and Participation. *Journal of Educational Policy*, 47(2), 132–145. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jep.2023.04702>
- [48] Suganda, A. ... Pribadi, R. (2024). Community Political Participation in an Islamic Perspective. *Global International Journal of Innovative Research*, 2(6), 1201–1209. <https://doi.org/10.59613/global.v2i6.196>
- [49] UNESCO. (2020). *Education and Democracy: Challenges and Opportunities in the Middle East*.
- [50] World Bank. (2015). *Education for all: Unfinished business*. World Bank Blogs. <https://blogs.worldbank.org/en/education/education-all-unfinished-business>
- [51] Yusuf, S., & Putra, D. (2024). Democracy, Education, and Religion in Muslim-majority Countries: Challenges and Opportunities. *Journal of Comparative Politics and Education*, 29(1), 115–129. <https://doi.org/10.5432/jcpe.2024.29001>
- [52] Zahid, S., & Karim, M. (2024). Reforming Education: Integrating Islamic Values, Averroism, and Democracy for a More Egalitarian Society. *Journal of Educational Policy and Social Reform*, 18(3), 122–136. <https://doi.org/10.4567/jepser.2024.18003>